

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,087	07/20/2001	Thomas Paul Downs		9884
75	90 04/22/2003			
PAUL DOWNS			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3191 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212		THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	1/
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	cant(s)
00/000 007	
	NS, THOMAS PAUL
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Un	it
Alexander S. Thomas 1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondent for Reply	ondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered in the second of the second of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing statutory period will app	onsidered timely. g date of this communication. .C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003.	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecutious closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 Cl	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by	the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or	(f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	·
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in thi application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	s National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a	provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-41	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/909,087

laser disc and enclosure disc--.

Art Unit: 1772

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. It is not clear whether the claim is directed to a protective disc per se or to the combination of a protective disc and laser or enclosure disc. The preamble of the claim is directed to a disc per se. However, the phrase "said protective disc ... coextensive with the diameter of a laser disc and enclosure disc" in claim 1 is directed to the combination of a protective disc and either a laser disc or enclosure disc. It should be made clear that the claim is directed to a protective disc per se. Applicant can do this by deleting from claim 1 the following phrase —said protective disc having a

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

radially innermost and radially outermost portion coextensive with the diameter of a

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drew in view of either Downs, Morgan et al or Prusak. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/909,087

Art Unit: 1772

See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Drew is cited to show that the use of cotton fabric is well known for protecting compact disc surfaces; see column 1, lines 41-45. The secondary references are cited to show the claimed annular shape of a protective device for recorded discs. Concerning the discussion of Pelon material, applicant has not disclosed any properties of the cotton material other than it is compressed. The term "compressed" is a process limitation that does not further structurally define the claimed invention over the broad teachings in Drew of cotton fabric.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander S. Thomas whose telephone number is 703-308-2421. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,087

Art Unit: 1772

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ast April 17, 2003 ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Olefandy & Thora